

Estimating $r_B^{D\pi}$ as input to the determination of CKM angle γ

Matthew Kenzie,¹ Maurizio Martinelli,² and Niels Tuning³

¹CERN, Geneva, Switzerland

²EPFL, Lausanne, Switzerland

³Nikhef, Amsterdam, The Netherlands

(Dated: September 21, 2016)

The interference between Cabibbo-favoured and Cabibbo-suppressed $B \rightarrow D\pi$ decay amplitudes provides sensitivity to the CKM angle γ . The relative size of the interfering amplitudes is an important ingredient in the determination of γ . Using branching fractions from various $B \rightarrow Dh$ decays, and the measured value for r_B^{DK} , the magnitude of the amplitude ratio of $B^+ \rightarrow D^0\pi^+$ and $B^+ \rightarrow \bar{D}^0\pi^+$ decays is estimated to be $r_B^{D\pi} = 0.0053 \pm 0.0007$.

Keywords: CKM angle gamma, nonleptonic B decays, SU(3) flavor symmetry

I. INTRODUCTION

The CKM description of charged-current quark transitions has been experimentally scrutinized to an impressive accuracy. The CKM angle γ encapsulates the relative phase between $b \rightarrow c$ and $b \rightarrow u$ quark transitions, $\gamma \equiv \arg \left[-\frac{V_{ud}}{V_{cd}} \frac{V_{ub}^*}{V_{cb}^*} \right]$, and is determined with a precision of 7° , as compared to a precision below 3° deduced from indirect measurements [1, 2].

The interference between $B^+ \rightarrow \bar{D}^0\pi^+$ and $B^+ \rightarrow D^0\pi^+$ decays, with the D^0 and \bar{D}^0 meson decaying to the same final state (charge-conjugation is implied throughout), provides sensitivity to the relative weak phase γ [3–5]. Experimental determination of γ from $B \rightarrow D\pi$ like decays is influenced by the effect of the unknown

hadronic parameters: $r_B^{D\pi}$, the relative magnitude of the Cabibbo-suppressed $B^+ \rightarrow D^0\pi^+$ amplitude compared to the Cabibbo-favored $B^+ \rightarrow \bar{D}^0\pi^+$ amplitude, and $\delta_B^{D\pi}$, the strong phase difference between the favored and suppressed modes. The ratio of amplitudes, $r_B^{D\pi}$, determines the size of the interference effect, and hence the sensitivity to CKM angle γ .

A previous simultaneous determination of γ , $r_B^{D\pi}$ and $\delta_B^{D\pi}$ from the LHCb collaboration, using $B \rightarrow \bar{D}\pi$ like modes, found multiple solutions for $r_B^{D\pi}$ [6]. Consequently, an estimate of its magnitude can provide useful information to improve the determination of γ [7].

In this paper we estimate the ratio of amplitudes

$$r_B^{D\pi} \equiv A(B^+ \rightarrow D^0\pi^+)/A(B^+ \rightarrow \bar{D}^0\pi^+),$$

using branching fractions from various $B \rightarrow Dh$ decays, that proceed through similar decay topologies [8], and using the measured value of r_B^{DK} [7]. A similar approach was used to estimate the ratio of amplitudes for the decays $B^0 \rightarrow D^\pm\pi^\mp$ [9]. An overview of the decays used is given in Table I. The amplitudes of the decays that involve a kaon in the final state are denoted by primed symbols.

At tree level, the $B^+ \rightarrow \bar{D}^0\pi^+$ amplitude receives con-




FIG. 1: (a,b) The color-allowed (tree) (T) and color-suppressed (C) topologies contributing to $B^+ \rightarrow \bar{D}^0\pi^+$ amplitude proceeding through V_{cb} , and (c,d) the color-suppressed (C^{ub}) and annihilation topologies contributing to $B^+ \rightarrow D^0\pi^+$ amplitude proceeding through V_{ub} .

Decay	Topology	BR ($\times 10^{-4}$) [11]	CKM factor
$A(B^+ \rightarrow \bar{D}^0\pi^+)$	$T + C$	48.1 ± 1.5	$V_{cb}V_{ud}$
$A(B^0 \rightarrow \bar{D}^0\pi^0)$	$(C - E)/\sqrt{2}$	2.63 ± 0.14	$V_{cb}V_{ud}$
$A(B^+ \rightarrow \bar{D}^0K^+)$	$T' + C'$	3.70 ± 0.17	$V_{cb}V_{us}$
$A(B^0 \rightarrow \bar{D}^0K^0)$	C'	0.52 ± 0.07	$V_{cb}V_{us}$
$A(B^+ \rightarrow D_s^+\phi)$	A'	$0.017^{+0.012}_{-0.007}$	$V_{ub}V_{cs}$

TABLE I: The decays under study are listed, with the topologies contributing to the amplitude, the branching fraction, and the relevant CKM elements. T , C , E and A stand for color-allowed tree, color-suppressed tree, W -exchange and annihilation topologies, respectively. The primed symbols indicate the decays with a kaon as the bachelor particle in the final state. The factor $\sqrt{2}$ originates from the isospin decomposition of the neutral pion, $|\pi^0\rangle = (u\bar{u} - d\bar{d})/\sqrt{2}$.

tributions from a color-allowed (T) and color-suppressed topology (C), whereas the $B^+ \rightarrow D^0\pi^+$ amplitude proceeds predominantly through the color-suppressed topology (C^{ub}) and also via the annihilation topology, as illustrated in Fig. 1, where the superscript ub indicates that the decay proceeds through a $b \rightarrow u$ transition.

The method to estimate $r_B^{D\pi}$ with $B^0 \rightarrow \bar{D}^0 K^0$ decays is given in Sec. II A, whereas the use of $B^0 \rightarrow \bar{D}^0\pi^0$ decays is shown in Sec. II B. The effect of the annihilation diagram is estimated in Sec. II C.

II. ESTIMATING $r_B^{D\pi}$ FROM BRANCHING FRACTIONS

The expression for the branching fraction takes the following form:

$$\text{BR}(B \rightarrow Dh) = |A(B \rightarrow Dh)|^2 \Phi_{Dh}^d \tau_B,$$

where h is a pion or a kaon, Φ_{Dh}^d is a phase-space factor, $A(B \rightarrow Dh)$ is the total amplitude (containing the CKM elements, form factors and decay constants) and τ_B is the lifetime of the B meson. Contributions from “rescattering” (like $B^+ \rightarrow D^-\pi^+ \rightarrow \bar{D}^0\pi^0$) are small, as shown within the framework of QCD factorization by Beneke et al. [10].

The following estimate of the ratio of amplitudes can be made,

$$\begin{aligned} r_B^{D\pi} &= \frac{A(B^+ \rightarrow D^0\pi^+)}{A(B^+ \rightarrow \bar{D}^0\pi^+)} = \frac{|C^{ub}|}{|T+C|} \\ &= \left| \frac{V_{ub}V_{cd}}{V_{cb}V_{ud}} \right| \frac{z|C|}{|T+C|} \end{aligned} \quad (1)$$

where z quantifies the ratio between the hadronic parts of the two color-suppressed tree diagrams proceeding through a $b \rightarrow c$ or $b \rightarrow u$ transition (shown in Fig. 1), $C^{ub} = zC \times (V_{ub}V_{cd})/(V_{cb}V_{ud})$. The contribution from the annihilation topology is also absorbed in the quantity z , and will be further discussed in Sec. II C.

We can estimate $|C|/(|T|+|C|)$ in two ways,

- A) $r_B^{D\pi} \sim A(B^0 \rightarrow \bar{D}^0 K^0)/A(B^+ \rightarrow \bar{D}^0 K^+)$, applying SU(3) symmetry, and correcting for the different CKM-elements involved;
- B) $r_B^{D\pi} \sim A(B^0 \rightarrow \bar{D}^0\pi^0)/A(B^+ \rightarrow \bar{D}^0\pi^+)$, using external estimates for the contribution from W -exchange topologies (E) to the decay $B^0 \rightarrow \bar{D}^0\pi^0$.

V_{CKM}	Ref.
$ V_{ud} = 0.97425 \pm 0.00022$	[11]
$ V_{us} = 0.2253 \pm 0.0008$	[11]
$ V_{ub} = (3.72 \pm 0.16) \cdot 10^{-3}$	[12]
$ V_{cd} = 0.225 \pm 0.008$	[11]
$ V_{cs} = 0.986 \pm 0.016$	[11]
$ V_{cb} = (41.1 \pm 1.3) \cdot 10^{-3}$	[11]

TABLE II: Values of CKM elements used.

The magnitude of z will be estimated in Sec. III by comparing the result of the amplitude ratio of the decays $B^+ \rightarrow D^0 K^+$, r_B^{DK} , to the measured value by LHCb [7]. For the numerical values of the CKM elements, we use the values listed in Table II.

A. Estimating $r_B^{D\pi}$ from $B^0 \rightarrow \bar{D}^0 K^0$

The decays $B \rightarrow DK$ can be used to estimate the contributions of various $B \rightarrow D\pi$ decay topologies, assuming SU(3) symmetry.

The validity of this assumption was probed by comparing the $D^{(*)}K$ and $D^{(*)}\pi$ decay rates, correcting for differences in phase space, CKM-elements, form factors and decay constants [8]. This assures that the decays $B^0 \rightarrow \bar{D}^0 K^0$ and $B^+ \rightarrow \bar{D}^0 K^+$ can be used to estimate a value for the amplitude ratio, $|C|/(|T|+|C|) = |C'|/(|T'|+|C'|)$, where

$$\left| \frac{C'}{T'+C'} \right| = \sqrt{\frac{\alpha \text{BR}(B^0 \rightarrow \bar{D}^0 K^0)}{\text{BR}(B^+ \rightarrow \bar{D}^0 K^+)}}. \quad (2)$$

The factor α quantifies a correction to the quoted value of $\text{BR}(B^0 \rightarrow \bar{D}^0 K^0)$ in the PDG [11]. The measured branching fraction by the BaBar [13] and Belle [14] collaborations is obtained from the sum over the charged-conjugate final states, and therefore the quoted branching fraction represents the sum of the $B^0 \rightarrow \bar{D}^0 K^0$ and $B^0 \rightarrow D^0 K^0$ branching fractions. Recently LHCb also performed an analysis of the decays $B_{(s)}^0 \rightarrow \bar{D}^0 K^0$ [15].

The quoted branching fraction can thus be expressed as the sum of the squares of the two color-suppressed tree amplitudes,

$$\begin{aligned} \text{BR}(B^0 \rightarrow \bar{D}^0 K^0) &= A(B^0 \rightarrow \bar{D}^0 K^0)^2 + A(B^0 \rightarrow D^0 K^0)^2 \\ &= |C'|^2 + |C'^{ub}|^2 \\ &= \left(1 + z' \left| \frac{V_{ub}V_{cs}}{V_{cb}V_{us}} \right|^2\right) \times |C'|^2 \\ &= (1 + 0.156 z') \times |C'|^2, \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

where z' quantifies the ratio between the hadronic parts of the two color-suppressed tree diagrams proceeding through the $b \rightarrow u$ and $b \rightarrow c$ transitions, $|C'^{ub}| = z'C' \times |V_{ub}V_{cs}/V_{cb}V_{us}|$. Hence, we need to correct the quoted branching fraction of the decay $B^0 \rightarrow \bar{D}^0 K^0$ to yield an estimate of the amplitude of C' , relative to $|T'+C'|$ with $\alpha = 1/(1 + 0.156 z')$, to obtain

$$r_B^{D\pi} = \left| \frac{V_{ub}V_{cd}}{V_{cb}V_{ud}} \right| \frac{z'}{1 + 0.156 z'} \sqrt{\frac{\text{BR}(B^0 \rightarrow \bar{D}^0 K^0)}{\text{BR}(B^+ \rightarrow \bar{D}^0 K^+)}} \quad (4)$$

B. Estimating $r_B^{D\pi}$ from $B^0 \rightarrow \bar{D}^0\pi^0$

A second estimate of $r_B^{D\pi}$ can be obtained using the decay $B^0 \rightarrow \bar{D}^0\pi^0$. The decay $B^0 \rightarrow \bar{D}^0\pi^0$ receives

contributions from the color-suppressed tree diagram (C) and from the W -exchange diagram (E). The comparison of the $B^0 \rightarrow \bar{D}^0 \pi^0$ and $B^+ \rightarrow \bar{D}^0 \pi^+$ decay rates gives [8]:

$$\left| \frac{C - E}{T + C} \right| = \sqrt{2} \sqrt{\frac{\text{BR}(B^0 \rightarrow \bar{D}^0 \pi^0)}{\text{BR}(B^+ \rightarrow \bar{D}^0 \pi^+)}} = 0.331 \pm 0.010(\text{BR}) \quad (5)$$

again assuming that CKM elements, form factors, decay constants and phase space factors cancel in the ratio. The uncertainty originates from the uncertainty on the measured branching fractions. The factor $\sqrt{2}$ originates from the isospin decomposition of the neutral pion. Although the branching fraction $\text{BR}(B^0 \rightarrow \bar{D}^0 \pi^0)$ is determined as the sum of the D^0 and \bar{D}^0 final states, the $b \rightarrow u$ color-suppressed tree amplitude is negligible compared to the $b \rightarrow c$ amplitude, unlike the situation of Eq. (2).

The color-suppressed tree diagram is expected to dominate the total transition amplitude with respect to the W -exchange topology E , which is supported by the comparison of the branching fractions of $B^0 \rightarrow \bar{D}^0 \pi^0$ and $B^0 \rightarrow \bar{D}^0 K^0$ [8], leading to

$$\left| \frac{C - E}{C} \right| = 0.913 \pm 0.074. \quad (6)$$

To obtain an independent estimate of $r_B^{D\pi}$ with respect to Eq. (4), *i.e.* without re-using information on the branching fraction of $B^0 \rightarrow \bar{D}^0 K^0$, the size of the W -exchange amplitude can be estimated from the decay $B^0 \rightarrow D_s^- K^+$ [16], resulting in the following value [8],

$$\left| \frac{E}{T + C} \right| = 0.056 \pm 0.004. \quad (7)$$

Without assuming any value for the relative phase between the W -exchange (E) and color-suppressed (C) amplitudes, we assign the full contribution of the W -exchange amplitude as uncertainty to the estimate of $|C|/|T + C|$,

$$\left| \frac{C}{T + C} \right| = 0.331 \pm 0.010(\text{BR}) \pm 0.056(E). \quad (8)$$

The resulting expression for $r_B^{D\pi}$ then becomes

$$r_B^{D\pi} = \left| \frac{V_{ub}V_{cd}}{V_{cb}V_{ud}} \right| \sqrt{2} z \sqrt{\frac{\text{BR}(B^0 \rightarrow \bar{D}^0 \pi^0)}{\text{BR}(B^+ \rightarrow \bar{D}^0 \pi^+)}}. \quad (9)$$

C. Effect of annihilation topology

The relative contribution from the annihilation topology with respect to the color-suppressed tree topology for the $B^+ \rightarrow D^0 \pi^+$ amplitude, is estimated using the measured branching fraction of the decay $B^+ \rightarrow D_s^+ \phi$ [17], relative to the decay $B^0 \rightarrow D^0 K^0$. At lowest order the $B^+ \rightarrow D_s^+ \phi$ decay proceeds purely through the annihilation topology.

The estimate of $|A/C|$ for the $B^+ \rightarrow D^0 \pi^+$ case can be directly obtained from the branching ratios, when corrected for by the appropriate CKM-elements and decay constants f_X ,

$$|A/C| = \sqrt{\frac{\text{BR}(B^+ \rightarrow D_s^+ \phi)}{\text{BR}(B^0 \rightarrow D^0 K^0)}} \left(\frac{V_{cb}V_{us}}{V_{ub}V_{cs}} \right) \left(\frac{f_D f_K}{f_{D_s} f_\phi} \right) \sim 0.25$$

with a large uncertainty from the branching fraction measurement of $B^+ \rightarrow D_s^+ \phi$, see Tab. I. It is also noted that the branching fraction $\text{BR}(B^+ \rightarrow \bar{D}^0 D_s^+)$ deviates from $\text{BR}(B^0 \rightarrow D^- D_s^+)$, where the main difference is expected to arise from the annihilation contribution [18]. Possible contributions to these final states from rescattering processes are discussed in Ref. [19]. The relative phase between the annihilation and color-suppressed tree topology is unknown, so the annihilation contribution can enhance or reduce the value of $r_B^{D\pi}$. Assuming SU(3) symmetry, this contribution is expected to be equal in the $B^+ \rightarrow D^0 K^+$ system, and will thus be accounted for in the determination of z from r_B^{DK} in the next Section.

III. CORRECTION USING r_B^{DK}

To quantify the ratio z between the hadronic parts of the $b \rightarrow u$ and $b \rightarrow c$ color-suppressed tree diagrams, $C'^{ub} = z' C' \times (V_{ub}V_{cs}/V_{cb}V_{us})$, an estimate for r_B^{DK} can be obtained in a similar way, and be compared to the fitted value for r_B^{DK} from the LHCb fit [7]. The quantity z also contains the correction due to contributions from the annihilation topology, see Fig. 1. We obtain the following expression for r_B^{DK} ,

$$r_B^{DK} = \left| \frac{V_{ub}V_{cs}}{V_{cb}V_{us}} \right| \frac{z'}{1 + 0.156 z'} \sqrt{\frac{\text{BR}(B^0 \rightarrow \bar{D}^0 K^0)}{\text{BR}(B^+ \rightarrow \bar{D}^0 K^+)}}$$

which differs from Eq. (4) by different CKM elements involved. Inserting the value for r_B^{DK} obtained from the LHCb fit, $r_B^{DK} = 0.101 \pm 0.006$ [7], the following estimate for the ratio of the hadronic parts of the color-suppressed amplitudes is obtained,

$$\frac{z'}{1 + 0.156 z'} = 0.68 \pm 0.05 \Rightarrow z' = 0.76 \pm 0.07. \quad (10)$$

The fact that the value of z' is close to unity, indicates that the hadronic parts of the two color-suppressed tree diagrams are of similar magnitude, in particular if the annihilation topology negatively interferes with the color-suppressed tree topology, *i.e.* if the relative strong phase is close to 180° , which would lead to a value $z' \sim 0.75$. We assume that the deviation from unity is equal for the $D\pi$ case, with an uncertainty of 10% from SU(3) symmetry breaking effects, $z = 0.76 \pm 0.07(\text{BR}) \pm 0.02(\text{SU}(3))$.

Inserting the numerical values in Eq. (4) and Eq. (9)

leads to the following estimates of $r_B^{D\pi}$,

$$\begin{aligned} r_B^{D\pi}(D^0 K^0) &= 0.0053 \pm 0.0002(V_{CKM}) \pm 0.0004(BR) \\ &\quad \pm 0.0005(SU(3)) \quad (11) \\ r_B^{D\pi}(D^0 \pi^0) &= 0.0053 \pm 0.0002(V_{CKM}) \pm 0.0002(BR) \\ &\quad \pm 0.0009(E) \pm 0.0005(z) \quad (12) \end{aligned}$$

which are in good agreement, albeit with a large uncertainty from the W -exchange contribution to the $B^0 \rightarrow \bar{D}^0 \pi^0$ decay rate. The agreement shows the internal consistency of the approach presented here. An additional 10% uncertainty from $SU(3)$ symmetry is assumed in the estimate of Eq. (11), based on the agreement of the relative contributions of the various decay topologies to the $B \rightarrow DK$ and $B \rightarrow D\pi$ decays [8]. Given the correlated systematic uncertainties between the two results, the following combined estimate is obtained,

$$r_B^{D\pi} = 0.0053 \pm 0.0007.$$

IV. CONCLUSIONS

The estimate for the value of the amplitude ratio $r_B^{D\pi}$ that is presented here provides a valuable input to

the discussion of the measurement of $r_B^{D\pi}$ at LHCb. The actual measurement of $r_B^{D\pi}$ can be achieved either by a combination of indirect measurements, as presented in Refs. [6, 7], or by direct measurement using semileptonic decays of the form $B^+ \rightarrow D^0 \pi^+$, where $D^0 \rightarrow K^- \mu^+ \nu_\mu$, and the charge of the kaon and muon can unambiguously tag the D^0 flavor. Future determinations of $r_B^{D\pi}$ can be compared to the estimate presented here, to assess the validity of the assumptions on rescattering and $SU(3)$ symmetry as used in this paper. The LHCb collaboration foresees to accumulate a four times larger dataset by the end of 2018, and a five time smaller uncertainty at the end of the LHCb upgrade, which will result in an experimental uncertainty of the measured value of $r_B^{D\pi}$ that is smaller than the one presented here.

Acknowledgments

We would like to thank Robert Fleischer, Vincenzo Vagnoni and Greg Ciezarek for many valuable discussions.

-
- [1] CKMfitter collaboration, J. Charles, *et al.*, "Current status of the Standard Model CKM fit and constraints on $\Delta F = 2$ New Physics," Phys. Rev. **D91** (2015) 073007 [arXiv:1501.05013 \[hep-ph\]](#)
 - [2] UTfit collaboration, M. Bona *et al.*, "An improved Standard Model prediction of $BR(B \rightarrow \tau \nu)$ and its implications for New Physics," Phys. Lett. **B687** (2010) 61 [arXiv:0908.3470 \[hep-ph\]](#)
 - [3] BaBar collaboration, P. del Amo Sanchez *et al.*, "Search for $b \rightarrow u$ transitions in $B^- \rightarrow DK^-$ and $D^* K^-$ decays," Phys. Rev. **D82** (2010) 072006 [arXiv:1006.4241 \[hep-ex\]](#)
 - [4] Belle collaboration, Y. Horii *et al.*, "Evidence for the suppressed decay $B^- \rightarrow DK^-$, $D \rightarrow K^+ \pi^-$," Phys. Rev. Lett. **106** (2011) 231803 [arXiv:1103.5951 \[hep-ex\]](#)
 - [5] LHCb collaboration, R. Aaij *et al.*, "A measurement of the CKM angle γ from a combination of $B^\pm \rightarrow Dh^\pm$ analyses," Phys. Lett. **B726** (2013) 151 [arXiv:1305.2050 \[hep-ex\]](#)
 - [6] LHCb collaboration, R. Aaij *et al.*, "Improved constraints on γ : CKM2014 update," LHCb-CONF-2014-004.
 - [7] LHCb collaboration, R. Aaij *et al.*, "Measurement of the CKM angle γ from a combination of tree-level LHCb analyses," LHCb-PAPER-2016-032.
 - [8] R. Fleischer, N. Serra, and N. Tuning, "Tests of factorization and $SU(3)$ relations in B decays into heavy-light final states," Phys. Rev. **D83** (2011) 014017 [arXiv:1012.2784 \[hep-ph\]](#)
 - [9] K. De Bruyn, R. Fleischer, R. Knegjens, M. Merk, M. Schiller and N. Tuning, "Exploring $B_s \rightarrow D_s^{(*)\pm} K^\mp$ decays in the presence of a sizable width difference $\Delta\Gamma_s$," Nucl. Phys. B **868** (2013) 351 [arXiv:1208.6463 \[hep-ph\]](#)
 - [10] M. Beneke, G. Buchalla, M. Neubert, and C. Sachrajda, "QCD factorization for exclusive, non-leptonic B meson decays: General arguments and the case of heavy-light final states," Nucl. Phys. **B591** (2000) 313 [arXiv:hep-ph/0006124](#)
 - [11] Particle Data Group, K. A. Olive *et al.*, Chin. Phys. **C38** (2014) 090001, and 2015 update
 - [12] Fermilab Lattice, MILC, J. A. Bailey, *et al.*, " $|V_{ub}|$ from $B \rightarrow \pi \ell \nu$ decays and $(2+1)$ -flavor lattice QCD," Phys. Rev. **D92** (2015) 014024 [arXiv:1503.07839 \[hep-lat\]](#)
 - [13] BaBar collaboration, B. Aubert *et al.*, "Measurement of $\bar{B}^0 \rightarrow D^{(*)0} \bar{K}^{(*)0}$ branching fractions," Phys. Rev. **D74** (2006) 031101 [arXiv:hep-ex/0604016](#)
 - [14] Belle collaboration, P. Krokovny *et al.*, "Observation of $\bar{B}^0 \rightarrow D^0 \bar{K}^0$ and $\bar{B}^0 \rightarrow D^0 \bar{K}^{*0}$ decays," Phys. Rev. Lett. **90** (2003) 141802 [arXiv:hep-ex/0212066](#)
 - [15] LHCb collaboration, R. Aaij *et al.*, "Observation of $B_s^0 \rightarrow \bar{D}^0 K_s^0$," Phys. Rev. Lett. **116** (2016) 161802 [arXiv:1603.02408 \[hep-ex\]](#)
 - [16] LHCb collaboration, R. Aaij *et al.*, "Determination of the branching fractions of $B_s^0 \rightarrow D_s^\mp K^\pm$ and $B^0 \rightarrow D_s^- K^+$," JHEP **1505** (2015) 019 [arXiv:1412.7654 \[hep-ex\]](#)
 - [17] LHCb collaboration, R. Aaij *et al.*, "First evidence for the annihilation decay mode $B^+ \rightarrow D_s^+ \phi$," JHEP **1302** (2013) 043 [arXiv:1210.1089 \[hep-ex\]](#)
 - [18] L. Bel, K. De Bruyn, R. Fleischer, M. Mulder and N. Tuning, "Anatomy of $B \rightarrow D\bar{D}$ decays," JHEP **1507** (2015) 108 [arXiv:1505.01361 \[hep-ph\]](#)
 - [19] M. Gronau, D. London and J. L. Rosner, "Rescattering Contributions to rare B -Meson Decays," Phys. Rev. D **87** (2013) 036008 [arXiv:1211.5785 \[hep-ph\]](#)